

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usqto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/786,698	02/25/2004	Megan Ravetz	55025-45276 3658		
21888 7	590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER		
THOMPSON COBURN, LLP			BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
ONE US BAN SUITE 3500	K PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, M	O 63101		1724		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			T		
	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action C	10/786,6	98	RAVETZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examine	-	Art Unit		
	Scott Bus	•	1724		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	9SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 O after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ev on. In a reply within the state period will apply and within the state period will apply and with a point of the apply apply and with a point of the apply apply and with a point of the apply app	ent, however, may a reply be til utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn	nunication.	
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 February 20	04			
	This action is n				
3) Since this application is in condition for al			osecution as to the m	erits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-31</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		nsideration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		india di dila i			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 22-31 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004		epted or b) 🛛 objecte	d to by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			• •	1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have bee	n received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National Sta	age	
application from the International Br	*	` ''			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.		
AMaalaa aa4/a)					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 442)		
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-25-04</u>. 	B/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-15	2)	
S. Patenl and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	ce Action Summar	v	Part of Paper No./Mail D)ate 0904	

Application/Control Number: 10/786,698

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: While it is noted that applicant has requested on page 5 of the transmittal letter, that the specification be amended to include reference to the prior filed U.S. application, as well as to the PCT from which the parent application entered the national stage, such a request is not a proper amendment under the current rules governing the amending of applications. Accordingly, applicant should insert the appropriate section into the specification in a form consistent with the current rules.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "narrowing or smaller vessel" within the bubbler, as recited by instant claims 22-25 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,698

Art Unit: 1724

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 22, and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bliss et al (Fig. 5; col. 7, lines 8-17).

With respect to the intended use and material worked on, such a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 10/786,698

Art Unit: 1724

and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

7. Claims 22, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Willinger (Fig. 5).

With respect to the intended use and material worked on, such a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

8. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by WO 98/27247 (Abstract; Fig. 1).

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 9-29-04

9-29-04